Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/647,810	SCHMIDT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David D. Le	3681
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>David D. Le</u> .	(3)	
(2) Scott A. Daniels.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 January 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a	of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney authorizes Examiner to amend the application as follows:

Abstract:

Line 2, replace "especially of a powershift transmission, comprising" with --having--;

Line 5, delete "a slide valve system which has";

Lines 12-14, delete ", and both surfaces of the clutch piston, when the shifting element is engaged, are pressurized up to a pre-defined pressure-adjuster control pressure with at least approximately the same pressure".

## Specification:

Page 10, line 18, replace "first" with --second--.